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Abstract

With its growing geopolitical inclination, Russian foreign policy has been considered as a resurgence of Eurasianism (Neo-Eurasianism). Notwithstanding its strong geopolitical sense, Eurasianism is multifaceted concept. It is often associated with philosophical thinking, cultural and political doctrines which changed over time and yet full of contradictions. This article seeks to find out the influence of Neo-Eurasianism in Russian foreign policy. Tracing back the idea of Eurasianism from historical perspective and employing Graham Smith classification on school of Eurasianism, this article argues that the rise of Neo-Eurasianism in Russia is driven by the needs to define and strengthen the spheres of influences. It is mainly motivated by ethnocentric sentiments to expand the sphere of influences across the border as a safeguard and protection. Neo-Eurasianism compromises building a foreign policy consensus based on political culture and national awareness.
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